

Perfectoid spaces

- Reference
- Benkeley lectures on p-adic geometry lectures 6, 7.
 - Scholze's thesis
 - Ben Heuer's note on perfectoid spaces
 - étale cohomology of diamonds section 3.

Motivation

There are 2 kinds of non-Arch local fields
finite ext of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$.

Nice if there're some direct relationship
between them.

e.g. $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \neq \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{F}_p((t))}$, but

Thm (Fontaine - Winterberger thm)

$$\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/p^\infty})} \cong \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{F}_p((t))}$$

highly ramified
over $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

char 0

char p.

Perfectoid spaces allow you to generalize such things.

→ A better version:

$$\text{LHS} \cong \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/p^\infty})^\wedge}$$

$$\text{RHS} \cong \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{F}_p((t))(t^{1/p^\infty})}$$

$$\cong \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{F}_p((t))(t^{1/p^\infty})^\wedge}$$

then one has

($\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/p^\infty})}$ is Henselian)

(finite étale site is invariant
under universal homeo.
 $\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p((t)) \leftarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p((t))(t^{1/p^\infty})$
is a universal homeo
($\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_p((t))(t^{1/p^\infty})}$ is Henselian)

Conclude

they are both pericoid fields

Defn A perfectoid ring is a complete uniform Tate Huber ring R s.t. $\exists$ pseudouniformizer ϖ with ϖ^p/p in R° & $R^\circ/p \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} R^\circ/p$ is surj.

Recall uniform : the set of power bounded efts R^0 is bounded

Tate : $\exists$ topologically nilpotent unit

Rmk There is a related but different notion, called (integral) perfectoid rings. They are used in 'integral p-adic Hodge theory' by Bhatt - Morrow - Scholze & 'THH'. But we won't need them. What we defined above is sometimes called perfectoid Tate ring.

Rule Let R is a complete Huber ring, $\text{char } R = p$.

Then R is perfectoid $\iff R$ is Tate & perfect

$$R \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^p} R \text{ is bijective}$$

$\Rightarrow$ R
 $\Leftarrow$
Banach open mapping theorem

Defn A **perfectoid field** K is a complete non-Arch ~~field~~ K s.t.

- K is not discretely valued

 $\bullet |p| < 1$ Φ is surj on $\mathcal{O}_K/p$.
$$|xy| = |x||y|$$

Rmk perfectoid field = perfectoid ring

that is a field.

See 'On commutative ^{not obvious how to get 1.1} nonarchimedean Banach fields' Kedlaya.

Examples of perfectoid rings

• $K = \mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/p^\infty})^\wedge$ completion

$$\mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/p^\infty}) := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/p^n})$$

complete ✓

uniform because $|\cdot|_p$ on $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ restricts to $\mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/p^\infty})^\wedge$
 roi of any non-Arch field is always bounded.

Tate : p is a pseudouniformizer
 $(p^{1/p})^p \mid p$.

$$\mathcal{O}_K / p \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} \mathcal{O}_K / p \quad ?$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/p^\infty})} / p$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[p^{1/p^\infty}] / p$$

($\mathcal{O}_L / p = \mathcal{O}_L / p$ if p is a pseudouniformizer of L)

e.g. $a_1 p^{1/p} + a_2 p^{3/p^5}$

$$\uparrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$$a_1 p^{1/p^2} + a_2 p^{3/p^6}$$

General case is similar.

∴ $\mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/p^\infty})^\wedge$ is perfectoid.

Example 2

($\mathbb{F}_p((t))$) $(t^{1/p^\infty})^\wedge$ is perfectoid

$$\textcircled{\mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/p^\infty})} \xleftrightarrow[p \mapsto t]{t \mapsto t^p} \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{F}_p((t))(t^{1/p^n}) \cong: \mathbb{F}_p((t^{1/p^\infty}))$$

'tilting'

Explicit description

$$\mathbb{F}_p((t^{1/p^\infty})) = \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]} a_i t^i \mid \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ there are only finitely many } i \leq n \text{ with } a_i \neq 0 \right\}$$

Example 3 $\mathbb{C}_p = \widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is perfectoid

Example 4 $K\langle T^{1/p^\infty} \rangle$ perfectoid closed unit disc over K ,

$\mathcal{O}_K[T^{1/p^\infty}]^{\wedge [\frac{1}{\varpi}]}$ where K is a perfectoid field w pseudouniformizer of K

Explicit description

$$K\langle T^{1/p^\infty} \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]_{\geq 0}} a_i T^i \mid \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, a_i \in \varpi^n \mathcal{O}_K \text{ for a.e. } i \right\}$$

Example 5 $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty})^{\wedge}$ is perfectoid

Tilting equivalence

Defn R perfectoid ring $R^b := \varprojlim_{\Phi} R = \varprojlim_{\Phi} (R \xleftarrow{\Phi} R \xleftarrow{\Phi} R \xleftarrow{\Phi} \dots)$

$(-)^p$

$$= \{ (x_i) \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} R : x_{i+1}^p = x_i, \forall i \geq 0 \}$$

addition on R^b

$$(x_i) + (y_i) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (x_{i+m} + y_{i+m})^{p^m}$$

multiplication on R^b

$$(x_i) \cdot (y_i) = (x_i y_i)$$

topology inverse limit topology

Fact • R^b is a perfectoid ring of char p .

- $(R^b)^0 = (R^0)^b := \varprojlim_{\mathbb{Z}} R^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{\mathbb{Z}} (R^0/\omega)$.
 $\nwarrow$ any pseudo-uniformizer $\omega \mid p$.
- $\exists$ pseudo-uniformizer $\omega \mid p$ which admits a seq of compatible p -power roots ω^{1/p^n} in R . Write $\omega^b = (\omega, \omega^{1/p}, \omega^{1/p^2}, \dots) \in R^b$.

Then $R^0/\omega \cong R^{0b}/\omega^b$ & $R^b = R^{0b}[\frac{1}{\omega^b}]$.

Thm (Tilting equiv)

Let R be a perfectoid ring. Then

$$\underbrace{R\text{-Perf}}_{\substack{\text{cat of perfectoid} \\ \text{alg over } R}} \xrightarrow[\sim]{(\)^b} R^b\text{-Perf}.$$

It induces

$$\underbrace{R_{\text{f\acute{e}t}}}_{\substack{\text{cat of finite} \\ \text{\acute{e}tale } R\text{-algs}}} \xrightarrow[\sim]{(\)^b} R^b_{\text{f\acute{e}t}}.$$

Pf sketch (Scholze's thesis, Ben Henric's note Thm 1.2.37) :

Assume $R=K$ perfectoid field. We'll use almost mathematics.
(See Scholze's thesis)

$$K\text{-Perf} \simeq \underbrace{\mathcal{O}_K^a\text{-Perf}}_{\substack{\text{cat of almost} \\ \text{perfectoid } \mathcal{O}_K\text{-algs}}} \simeq (\mathcal{O}_K/\omega)^a\text{-Perf}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \mapsto & S^{\text{oa}} \\ A[\frac{1}{\omega}] & \leftarrow & A \end{array} \quad A \mapsto A/\omega$$

Proved by showing all relevant cotangent complexes $= 0$.
generalization of Kähler differentials

Similarly,

E.g.
If R is a perfect $\mathbb{F}_p$ -alg,
then $\Omega_{R/\mathbb{F}_p} = 0$. Indeed,
 $dr = d(r^{1/p})^p = p(r^{1/p})^{p-1} d(r^{1/p})$

$$K^b\text{-Perf} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{K^b}\text{-Perf} \simeq (\mathcal{O}_{K^b}/\varpi^b)^a\text{-Perf}$$

But $\mathcal{O}_K/\varpi = \mathcal{O}_{K^b}/\varpi^b$, so get this equality.

$$\therefore K\text{-Perf} \simeq K^b\text{-Perf}$$

Can prove $K_{\text{fét}} \simeq K^b_{\text{fét}}$ similarly, but need one extra ingredient, called almost purity thm below. $\square$

Almost purity thm Let R be a perfectoid ring
 $R \rightarrow S$ be a finite étale map. Then S is perfectoid
 $\& \quad R^\circ \rightarrow S^\circ$ is almost finite étale.
*not finite in general,
 Only almost finite.*

Perfectoid spaces
Defn A perfectoid space is an adic space X which admits an open cover by $\text{Spa}(R, R^+)$, where R is perfectoid.

Examples

- $\text{Spa } \mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/p^\infty})^\wedge$

- Let K be a perfectoid ring.

If S is a profinite set, then

$$S \times_{\text{Spa } K} := \varprojlim_i (S_i \times_{\text{Spa } K}) \quad \text{where } S = \varprojlim_{\text{finite}} S_i$$

disjoint union of $\text{Spa } K$

$$= \text{Spa } \text{Cont}(S, K)$$

continuous functions

is a perfectoid space. This gives a fully faithful embedding
 $(\text{profinite set}) \hookrightarrow (\text{perfectoid spaces over } \text{Spa } K)$

- any open subspace of a perfectoid space is perfectoid (by the fact below)

Fact Let R be a perfectoid ring, $X = \mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$.
 Then $\forall$ rational open subset $U \subset X$,
 $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is perfectoid.
 $\Rightarrow$ uniform

$\Rightarrow X$ is stably uniform (i.e. $\forall$ rational open $U \subset X$, $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is rational.)
 $\Rightarrow (R, R^+)$ is sheafy.

Warning It is **unknown** whether a perfectoid space which is affinoid must be of the form $\mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$ for a perfectoid ring R .

(being perfectoid only implies there is a cover by such $\mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$. We don't know whether the cover can be taken to be a singleton)

By an affinoid perfectoid, we $\wedge$ mean $\mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$ for a perfectoid ring R . & most people

Tilting
 By gluing, we can generalize tilting to perfectoid spaces to get a functor

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{perfectoid spaces}) &\longrightarrow (\text{perfectoid spaces}) \\ X &\longmapsto X^b \end{aligned}$$

Thm. $|X| \cong |X^b|$
 $\nwarrow$ underlying top space

(Tilting equiv) $\mathrm{Perfd}_X \xrightarrow{(\)^b} \mathrm{Perfd}_{X^b}$
 & $X_{\text{ét}} \simeq X^b_{\text{ét}}$
 & $X_{\text{fét}} \simeq X^b_{\text{fét}}$

great thm because it allows to relate char 0 & char p things.

Example $X = \mathrm{Spa} \mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/p^\infty})^\wedge$. Then $X^b = \mathrm{Spa} \mathbb{F}_p(p^{1/p^\infty})$.

$\Rightarrow X_{\text{fét}} \simeq X^b_{\text{fét}}$

$\Rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}} \simeq \pi_1^{\text{ét}}$ (can already deduced)

$$\| \cdot \|_{\mathbb{P}(P^{(p^m)})} = \text{val}(\| \cdot \|_{\mathbb{P}(P^{(p^m)})}) \quad (\text{from } K_{\text{ét}} = K_{\text{ét}}^b /$$

Thm (Almost acyclicity)

$\forall$ affinoid perfectoid space X ,

← this is one nice property of perfectoid spaces.

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X^+) \stackrel{a}{=} 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1.$$

↑
almost

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X^+) \stackrel{a}{=} 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1.$$

↑
almost

See 'étale cohom of diamonds' 3.24, 6.3.

Remark This is false for general adic spaces.
False even for rigid analytic spaces

e.g. $H_{\text{ét}}^2(\text{Spa } \mathbb{C}_p\langle T \rangle, \mathcal{O}^+)$ is huge.

App Hodge - Tate comparison.

Thus X sm proper rigid space / $\mathbb{C}_p$.

Have a spectral seq $E_2^{ij} = H^i(X, \Omega_X^j(-j)) \Rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{i+j}(X, \mathbb{C}_p)$.

It degenerates at E_2 -page.

(Classically, if X cpt Kähler mfd, then $\bigoplus_{i+j=n} H^i(X, \Omega_X^j) = H_{\text{sing}}^n(X, \mathbb{C})$)
(We do not put any condition analogous to 'Kähler' here.)

PF idea

Realize the HT ss as the Leray ss for the mor of sites

$\mathcal{U}: X_{\text{proét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{ét}}$
& the sheaf $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$.

Leray ss: $H^i(X, \underbrace{R^j \mathcal{U}_* \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X}_{\Omega_X^j(-j)}) \Rightarrow H_{\text{proét}}^{i+j}(X, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X)$

use perfectoid cover
& almost purity

called primitive comparison thm

use tilting argument to relate it to the Artin-Schreier seq.

More details in Ben Heuer's note on perfectoid spaces. $\square$

Remark

If $\text{char } R = p$, then $R^b = R$.

$$R^b = \varprojlim_{\mathbb{Z}} R \longleftarrow R$$
$$(r^{1/p^n})_{n \geq 0} \longleftarrow r$$
$$(S_i) \longleftarrow S_0$$

because $\text{char } p$
perfectoid rings
are perfect